

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,503	10/31/2003	Koenraad Gieskes	UNIV-4367	9603	
7590 04/05/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Arlen L. Olsen			TRINH, MINH N		
SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 Lear Jet Lane			3729		
Latham, NY 12110			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,503

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: --"Method for mounting and rejecting of a component during placement cycle"--. Not that claim directed to both mounting and rejecting of component from the pick/place head (i.e., see control claim 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples:

it is not clear if "a component "(claim1, line 16) is as same as "a component " as previous recited in claim 1, line 1.

ls" a component placement machine" (claim 1, line 3) is as same as that as recited in claim 1, line 2.

"a plurality of pick/place heads" (claim1, line 6-7) does not agree with the preamble single head (see preamble, line 1).

It is not clear as to how the rejecting step (f) can be done during at least one of the picking step c and the step (g) since there is no associated step of determining Art Unit: 3729

whether the component is non-placeable so the rejecting step should have been follow after step d but not step (c) though.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-4 as best under stood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (see under the heading "Background". In view of Iwatsuka et al (5,383,270)

APA discloses the method for rejecting a component from a pick and place machine as recited in claim 1, step a-d (see disclosure pages 1-3, under the "Background". However, the APA appears for lacking of an associated reject station for rejecting component when the component determined to be a non replacement as

Application/Control Number: 10/698,503

Art Unit: 3729

recited in step e. Iwatsuka teaches the above feature (see col. 3, lines 30-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Iwatsuka's teaching as described in details above in order to facilitate the fabrication process. The motivation for the combination can be found in col. 4, lines 20-27.

Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired reject station for disposing of the unwanted component since applicant has not disclosed that the above feature is critical, patentably distinguishing feature and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the reject bin as disclosed by in the prior art reference (see APA, page 3, lines 10-15).

Limitations of claims 2-4 are also met by the combine teachings above.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,503

Art Unit: 3729

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt 4/3/06

PRIMARY EXAMINER